IN THE UNTED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARIAH DREHER	;
2639 West Oakdale Street	:
Philadelphia, PA 19132	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	: : No.:
v.	: :
THE LAW OFFICES OF JOEL K.	: :
KOFSKY	:
1500 JFK Boulevard	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Philadelphia, PA 19102	:
Defendant.	: :
	;

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Mariah Dreher (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by The Law Offices of Joel J. Kofsky (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII" – 42 U.S.C. §§ 200d et seq.)/the Pregnancy Discrimination Act ("PDA"), the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance ("PFPO"). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

¹ Plaintiff's claims under the PHRA and PFPO are referenced herein for notice purposes. She is required to wait 1 full year before initiating a lawsuit from date of dual-filing with the EEOC. Plaintiff must however file her lawsuit in advance of same because of the date of issuance of her federal right-to-sue-letter under Title VII/Pregnancy Discrimination Act. Plaintiff's PHRA and PFPO claims however will mirror identically her federal claims under

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws.
- 3. This Court may properly assert personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945), and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1392(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Plaintiff is proceeding herein under Title VII/PDA after properly exhausting all administrative remedies with respect to such claims by timely filing a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a notice of dismissal and/or right to sue letter from the EEOC.

PARTIES

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address set forth in the caption.

Title VII/Pregnancy Discrimination Act with the exception of adding a failure to accommodate and retaliation claim under the PFPO.

- 8. Defendant is a law firm providing representation for personal injury, workman's compensation, and medical malpractice claims, with its main office located at the above-captioned address. Plaintiff was hired through and worked at this office.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 11. Plaintiff is a female individual.
- 12. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a (pre-litigation) paralegal on a full-time basis from on or about August 6, 2018, until her unlawful termination (as discussed *infra*) on or about October 30, 2018.
- 13. Upon Plaintiff's hire, she informed Defendant's owner, Joel Kofsky (hereinafter "Kofsky"), that she was approximately six-and-one-half months pregnant, and that she would need health benefits for her pregnancy after her insurance with her prior employer terminated on or about September 1, 2018.
- 14. In response to Plaintiff's request for health benefits to care for her pregnancy, Kofsky informed Plaintiff that she would be eligible for health benefits under Defendant's group health plan at the end of her probationary period or within 60 days of her hire date on or about October 6, 2018.
- During her tenure with Defendant, Plaintiff was a hard-working employee who performed her job well.

- 16. On or about September 17, 2018, Plaintiff had a prenatal appointment scheduled on her lunch break; however, she was turned away from the prenatal appointment because her insurance from her prior employer was no longer effective.
- 17. When Plaintiff returned to Defendant's office after being turned away from her prenatal appointment on September 17, 2018, Plaintiff reminded Defendant's Office Manager, Colleen Miller (hereinafter "Miller") in person and later via email to make sure that Plaintiff's insurance coverage was in effect by on or about October 6, 2018 the day her probationary period ended.
- 18. A few days after Plaintiff reminded Miller to ensure that her insurance coverage was in effect by on or about October 6, 2018, Miller left a booklet along with medical paperwork for Keystone Health Plan East PPO on Plaintiff's desk to be completed, which Plaintiff did.
- 19. Plaintiff purposefully did not plan any of the prenatal medical visits that she needed until her new insurance with Defendant was made effective.
- 20. On or about October 10, 2018, Plaintiff personally contacted Keystone Health Plan East and was informed by an insurance company representative that Plaintiff's insurance coverage was not yet in effect because Plaintiff's paperwork had not been provided by the Defendant.
- 21. Upon being informed by Keystone Health Plan East on or about October 10, 2018, that her insurance policy through Defendant was not in effect, Plaintiff emailed Miller and Kofsky and informed them that the paperwork had not been forwarded; Miller replied that she had forwarded everything to Keystone.
- 22. On or about October 15, 2018, nine days after Plaintiff's insurance coverage should have been in effect, Plaintiff scheduled a prenatal appointment at Jefferson Hospital.

- 23. At Plaintiff's prenatal visit at Jefferson Hospital on or about October 15, 2018, a hospital representative contacted Keystone Health Plan East to confirm Plaintiff's insurance coverage through Defendant, but was informed that Plaintiff's paperwork still had not been provided by Defendant and her coverage was not yet in effect.
- 24. Upon learning that her insurance coverage through Defendant was still not in effect on October 15, 2018, Plaintiff emailed both Miller and Kofsky inquiring as to the status of her insurance coverage.
- 25. In response to Plaintiff's October 15, 2018, inquiring about the status of her insurance coverage through Defendant, Miller stated that she had sent the paperwork to Keystone Health Plan East, and Kofsky replied that he "assumed [Plaintiff] had her own coverage" despite that fact that Plaintiff had informed Kofsky upon her hire that she needed insurance coverage.
- 26. Over the next two weeks, Plaintiff continued to reach out to Miller and Kofsky on several occasions as to why her insurance coverage was not yet in effect. Both Miller and Kofsky responded that they were "taking care of it."
- 27. Throughout Plaintiff's tenure with Defendant, Kofsky questioned Plaintiff on numerous occasions regarding her pregnancy plans and maternity leave.
- 28. In response to Kofsky's questions about her pregnancy plans and maternity leave, Plaintiff consistently informed Kofsky that she planned to work up until her due date and then take a brief maternity leave.
- 29. On or about October 30, 2018, Plaintiff was feeling pregnancy discomfort and asked Miller if she could use a personal day now that she was past her 60-day probationary period to see her doctor, to which Miller agreed.

5

- 30. Just a few hours after Plaintiff requested a personal day from Miller to see her doctor for her pregnancy on or about October, 30, 2018, Miller called Plaintiff and abruptly terminated her because "Joel [Kofsky] doesn't think you want to be here."
- 31. Plaintiff associated Kofsky claiming she didn't "want to be here" as being related to her requests for medical benefits and as discrimination for her pregnancy and/or discussions on pregnancy planning and maternity leave, as Plaintiff performed her job well and in a manner that did not result in a disciplinary history.
- 32. In an e-mail from Kofsky following Plaintiff's termination, Kofsky stated to Plaintiff "We gave it a shot . . . not the best timing." Plaintiff believes and avers that Kofsky's statement of "not the best timing" was in reference her pregnancy and impending maternity leave.
- 33. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that she was terminated from Defendant because of her pregnancy and/or her discussions on pregnancy planning and maternity leave.

COUNT I Violations of Title VII/PDA (Pregnancy Discrimination)

- 34. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 35. Upon Plaintiff's hire, she informed Defendant's owner, Kofsky that she was approximately six-and-one-half months pregnant, and that she would need health benefits for her pregnancy after her insurance with her prior employer terminated on or about September 1, 2018.
- 36. Kofsky informed Plaintiff that she would be eligible for health benefits at the end of her probationary period or within 60 days of her hire date on or about October 6, 2018.

6

- 37. Despite repeated requests during her tenure with Defendant for the health insurance benefits that she was promised after her probationary period, Plaintiff was never given provided with health insurance from Defendant to care for her pregnancy.
- 38. Plaintiff also informed Defendant that she intended to take a brief maternity leave following childbirth and requested approximately one day off from work due to pregnancy related pains (for which she wanted to see her physician).
- 39. On or about October 31, 2018, shortly requesting the day off from pregnancy-related reasons and in close proximity to discussing her need for medical insurance for her pregnancy care, her pregnancy plans, and maternity leave with Kofsky, Plaintiff was abruptly terminated.
- 40. Therefore, Plaintiff believes and avers that she was terminated from Defendant because of her pregnancy and/or her discussions on pregnancy planning and maternity leave.
 - 41. This action as aforesaid constitutes unlawful discrimination under Title VII/PDA.

Count II <u>Violations of ERISA – Section 510, 29 U.S.C. § 1140</u> (Interference & Retaliation)

- 42. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant delayed presenting Plaintiff with any paperwork regarding her eligibility for health insurance benefits and never activated Plaintiff's health insurance in order to prevent her from utilizing health insurance benefits under Defendant's group health plan, particularly for her pregnancy (discussed *supra*).

7

- 44. Upon information and belief, a motivating factor in Defendant's termination of Plaintiff's employment was her attempts to exercise her rights under Defendant's ERISA qualified group health plan.
- 45. It is also believed and averred that Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment with the purpose of interfering with her attempt to attain benefits under Defendant's ERISA qualified group health plan.
- 46. Plaintiff's termination from her employment for the aforesaid reasons constitutes violations of ERISA, Section 510.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting discrimination in the future against any employee(s);
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement and seniority;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated and/or punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress, pain, suffering and humiliation; and

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq.

3331 Street Rd.

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: March 27, 2019

A REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Mariah Dreher

CIVIL ACTION

v.		:			
The Law Offices of Jo	oek K. Kofsky	:	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser- side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management we a copy on all de event that a defe shall, with its firs arties, a Case Mar	Track Des fendants. ndant doe st appearan nagement	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counsignation Form in all civil cases at the ti (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the res not agree with the plaintiff regarding nce, submit to the clerk of court and set Track Designation Form specifying the signed.	me or	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CA	ASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
(b) Social Security - Cases and Human Services de	requesting review nying plaintiff Sc	v of a deci ocial Secur	ision of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	. ()	
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(d) Asbestos - Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ving claims for pe	ersonal inj	ury or property damage from	()	
commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	s complex and the side of this form	at need spe for a detai	racks (a) through (d) that are ecial or intense management by led explanation of special	()	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do no	ot fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X)	
3/27/2019		>	Plaintiff		
Date	Attorney-	t-law	Attorney for	"	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-49	70	akarpf@karpf-law.com	akarpf@karpf-law.com	
Telephone	FAX Num	iber	E-Mail Address		

(Clv. 660) 10/02

Case 2:19-cv-01296-MSG Document 1 Filed 03/27/19 Page 11 of 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 2639 West Oakdale Street, Philadelphia, PA 19132					
Address of Defendant: 1500 JFK Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19102					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
I. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.					
DATE: 3/27/2019 ARK2484 / 91538 Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
ливтеу-ш-им 7 го зе гишту ливтеу г.о. # (у артамов)					
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases					
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ 7. Civil Rights □ 7. Products Liability □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 8. Products Liability — Asbestos □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 10. Social Security Review Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 11. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ 7. Products Liability □ 8. Products Liability □ 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): □ 9. All other Personal Injury □ 10. All other Personal Injury □ 11. Insurance Contracts □ 12. All other Personal Injury □ 13. All other Personal In					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION 7. Products Liability 7. Products Liability 9. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION 9. ARBITRATION CERTIFIC					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Social Security Review Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): 7. Products Personal Injury 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. Assault, Defamation 9. Assault, Defamation 9. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 9. Motor Vehi					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Antitrust 4. Antitrust 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury 7. Civil Rights 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Federal Question Cases 9. All other Federal Question Cases 9. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other					

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANT	<u></u>	
DREHER, MARIAH			CES OF JOEL K. KOF	SKY
	of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		ce of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE)	
		THE TRAC	CONDEMNATION CASES, USE CT OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE ECCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	
Suite 128, Bensalem, P.	P.C.; 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwoo A 19020; (215) 639-0801; akarpf@karpf	d Square, -law.com		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In	rincipal Place 4 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In	Principal Place 5 5 n Another State
W. MATEURE OF STREET		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	EORET UREPENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions.
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REALPROPERTY 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	330 Federal Employers' Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 363 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 361 Personal 362 Personal Injury 363 Personal Injury 363 Personal Injury 364 Personal Injury 364 Personal Injury 365 Personal Injury 366 Personal Injury 366 Personal Injury 367 Personal Injury 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 380 Other Personal 380 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 362 Personal Injury 368 Asbestos Personal 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 380 Other Personal 485 Property Damage 362 Personal Injury 360 Other Personal 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 380 Other Personal 485 Property Damage 362 Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 363 Property Damage 362 Personal 363 Property Damage 36	of Property 21 USC 881 of 90 Other LABOR of 710 Fair Labor Standards Act of 720 Labor/Management Relations of 740 Railway Labor Act of 751 Family and Medical Leave Act of 790 Other Labor Litigation of 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTYRIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 186DERAL TAX SHITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act ' 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	0 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	er 0 465 Other Immigration Actions		
XI Original 0 2 Rer	moved from 0 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe	erred from 0 6 Multidist er District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	I DUEL description of cause.	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statu	tes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Violations of Title VII, the Pregnancy Discrir CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	110
3/27/2019	SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
and a summer of the summer of	OUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE
Print	Save As		\$	Reset

Reset